

A Computational Model of the Anterior Intraparietal Area



Omid Rezai¹, Ashley Kleinhans², Eduardo Matallanas³, Ben Selby¹, Bryan Tripp¹

¹University of Waterloo, Canada; ²University of Johannesburg, South Africa; ³Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

Context The macaque anterior intraparietal area (AIP) receives a variety of visual input and encodes 3D object shape. It has dense connections with frontal hand area F5. Lesions impair preshaping of the hand for grasping.

Goals We want to understand more clearly how AIP visual-dominant neurons parameterizes shapes. This is challenging because electrophysiological data are sparse.

Approach 1) Fit different shape parameterizations to AIP data; 2) See how well they can be mapped from signals in the caudal intraparietal area (CIP), a major source of information about surface orientation and curvature; 3) Test shape parameterizations in a robotic grasp controller. This poster is about (1) and (2).





Figure 2: (a) Cosine-tuned and (b) non-linear fits (squares) to CIP curvature tuning curves³ (circles) of an example neuron. (c) Same model as (b) vs. 2nd derivatives of depth.



Figure 3: Regression plot comparison between neural network approximations of superquadrics (a) and Isomap (d) parameters. (b) Best fit of a model neuron that is cosine-tuned over superquadric parameters. The fit is to an "augmented" tuning curve that includes data points from a specific neuron⁴ and additional points estimated from population data. Quality of AIP tuning curve fits with cosine tuning over 8 superquadric (c) and 8 isomap (g) parameters. (e) Comparison of the distance between points in the isomap and the error of the neural network approximations. (f) Error over tuning curves vs. the dimension of the isomap.

In robotics, superquadric fits play

Testing on a Robot

Cognitive Influences

a role in grasping that is similar to AIP neurons: they are a lowdimensional shape Steps charactierization that facilitates grasp planning. However, our results suggest that superquadric parameters are probably not Next similar to the variables encoded by AIP. In contrast, the parameters of a nonlinear dimension reduction of depth

map features fit AIP data more closely and are more consistent with visual input from CIP.

(with Benjamin Rosman & Renaud Detry)





[1] Salinas, E., & Abbott, L. F. (1994). J Computational Neuroscience, 1, 89–107.

References

[2] Eliasmith, C., & Anderson, C. (2003). Neural Engineering. MIT Press.

[3] Katsuyama et al. (2010) Neuroscience 167, 1-10.

[4] Murata et al. (2000) J Neurophysiol 83, 2580-2601.

Acknowledgements Supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Spanish Ministry of Education and Consejo Social UPM.

Contact bptripp@uwaterloo.ca